



iLEAD Online Single Plan for Student Achievement 2023-2024

School Name: iLEAD Online

About the School: iLEAD Online Charter School is a K-12 independent study online public charter school authorized by the Acton Agua Dulce Unified School District in Los Angeles County and opened in July, 2017. The school meets the needs of families who prefer virtual learning for their children who are supported by a credentialed academic coach, facilitators and a program which supports educational options and flexibility. The school provides students and families flexibility of scheduling throughout the week to complete coursework and activities and offers a broad course catalog and unique customizable project approach for demonstrating mastery of the California State Standards.

School Mission and Vision:

The mission of the iLEAD Online is to provide a rigorous, relevant, inquiry-based, self-directed and collaborative learning environment for all learners in grades K-12 to prepare them for college and career.

The vision of iLEAD Online is that all learners will possess the knowledge, skills, and confidence to achieve health and wellness, master academic standards, develop a deep understanding of subject matter and collaborate effectively with the community in which they live. All learners will graduate college and career ready by meeting rigorous graduation requirements.

CDS Code:

19 75309 0136531

SSC Approval Date: June 8th, 2023

Local Board Approval Date: June 22nd, 2023

Purpose

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan (Select from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

The purpose of this plan is for a Schoolwide Program for Title I, II, and IV funding.

The school's Single Plan for School Achievement goals are based upon a comprehensive needs assessment that includes an analysis of verifiable state data, including information displayed on the most current CA School Dashboard. Other internal school data, such as NWEA MAP assessments, is utilized to further measure and monitor achievement throughout the school year. School goals are aligned with iLEAD Online's Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) goals and include the same metrics/indicators where possible. Input and advice is solicited from key school community members.

The school receives Federal Title I, Title II, and Title IV funding. Title I funding is allocated for Math and ELA Tier II intervention programs. Title II is allocated for staff development to ensure equitable access to quality educators. Title IV funding is used to assure that learners receive a well-rounded education.

ESSA Requirements



Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting the ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.

This plan will align our school goals in this SPSA to the school's Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) as possible. The school will meet the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) by having fidelity and coherence to the California State Standards and the school's educational program as outlined in the most recent charter petition and the Federal LCAP Addendum. The school will use Project-Based Learning, a focus on social emotional learning (SEL), and personalized support with a Multi-Tiered System of Support (MTSS). NWEA MAP assessments in math and reading are given 3 times annually to differentiate learning and target struggling learners for support, as well as monthly data protocol by class/grade level and semester school-wide data protocols. The focus on schoolwide coherence, teamwork, learner engagement, learner achievement is how we will strive to meet the ESSA requirements. Educational partners, including the SSC, staff, governing board, parents, and school leaders will review the SPSA annually and learning data to ensure that it reflects efforts to increase learner growth and achievement through data informed practices. The SPSA compliments the school's LCAP goals and actions to ensure efficiency in evidence-based continuous improvement practices and school resources.

Educational Partner Involvement and Comprehensive Needs Assessment

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

The annual SPSA process starts in the fall for the following school year and is finalized in the spring after learner assessment data and SPSA goals are analyzed. School leadership and staff use verifiable data in the fall to assess Title programming and make adjustments to programming based off the data. The Schoolsite Council meets to review verifiable data from the California Dashboard and Data Quest and give input to implementing programming and meeting goals. The school's ELAC also meets in fall to review verifiable data and provide input on school programming. Lastly, the school's governing board reviews data in the fall.

Frequent feedback and planning conversations happen throughout the school year through program iSUPPORT programs, leadership reflection and professional development, staff collaboration, data protocol meetings, and the school's continuous improvement cycle activities. The school makes a coordinated effort to collect, analyze, and use "Street Data".

During the spring planning process, school leadership sends annual family, learner, and staff surveys using Panorama Education, which uses evidence-based, nationally normed questions to gather feedback and input on school programming and needs for the following school year. The SPSA is analyzed and revised for the following school year by school leadership and the Schoolsite Council and presented to staff for input. The Board approves the SPSA in June alongside the school LCAP.

The school engages in a year-long process of continuous improvement to serve as its comprehensive needs assessment. This includes: analysis of internal and verifiable metrics as aligned to California state required LCAP metrics, annual program evaluation and planning, monthly grade level data protocol meetings, staff and parent meetings, annual survey data, and comprehensive budget analysis and review.



Goals, Strategies, Expenditures

Goal 1: Enhance academic support and interventions in order to address the needs of low-achieving learners and those at risk of not meeting the challenging state standards in Mathematics.

Identified Need	iLEAD Online scored 75.7 points below standard in Mathematics on the 2022 CAASPP, which is 24 points below the overall state of California. There is a need to increase academic achievement in math for identified learners needing intervention based on internal NWEA math MAP scores and CAASPP.
------------------------	--

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric	Baseline	Expected Outcome
NWEA MAP Test Scores, specifically the Conditional Growth Index (CGI), a standardized measure of observed learner or school growth compared to the 2020 NWEA student or school growth norms.	Anticipated Spring 2023 overall CGI: .23	Meet or exceed 0 on Spring 2023 CGI

Strategies/Activities

Activity	Learners to Be Served	Strategy/Activity Description	Expenditures	
			Amount	Funding Source
Math Intervention	Identified learners falling below State proficiency levels based on NWEA MAP assessment and/or facilitator referral.	Facilitator to work directly with identified learners falling below State proficiency levels. This facilitator will design, implement, and monitor strategies and activities to support math learning in alignment to California State Standards.	\$37,381	Title I

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2022-2023	Summary: iLEAD Online Facilitators worked to implement a math intervention program for targeted learners falling well below state level of proficiency as measured by NWEA MAP scores in fall and winter 2022.
--------------------------------------	---



<p>Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goals.</p>	<p>Facilitators identified targeted learners based on math course performance and NWEA MAP scores in fall, winter, and spring and referred for Tier II math intervention programming. A credentialed facilitator utilized asynchronous and synchronous learning during instructional time for live instruction and through the school's LMS to deliver and monitor intervention strategies, tutoring, and support in meeting individual learner's Math goals. NWEA MAP data and iXL learning software were used to design individual lessons and practice for learner's based on their skill development needs.</p> <p>A Math Foundations asynchronous curriculum and course was created to provide additional targeted supplemental intervention to struggling learners.</p> <p>iLEAD Online met the 22-23 goal of a CGI score of 0 or above, overall, CGI improved 1.64 points, demonstrating effectiveness of targeted intervention and the need to continue offering and growing the executed intervention program.</p>
<p>Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goals.</p>	<p>There were no major differences between intended implementation or budgeted expenditures during the implementation time period.</p>
<p>Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.</p>	<p>iLEAD Online will continue offering small group intervention courses using live classes, credentialed Tier II Intervention Specialists for grades K-12, intervention software, and close monitoring of targeted learners as a Tier II schoolwide program. The program is expanding from 9-12 to K-12 as a result of successful implementation this year.</p>

Goal 2: Enhance academic support and interventions in order to address the needs of low-achieving learners in ELA and those at risk of not meeting the challenging state standards grades.

<p>Identified Need</p>	<p>iLEAD Online scored 22.1 points below standard in ELA on the 2022 CAASPP, which is 9.9 points below the overall state of California. There is a need to increase academic achievement in ELA for identified learners needing intervention based on internal NWEA ELA MAP scores.</p>
-------------------------------	---

<p>Annual Measurable Outcomes</p>		
<p>Metric</p>	<p>Baseline</p>	<p>Expected Outcome</p>



<p>NWEA MAP Test Scores, specifically the Conditional Growth Index (CGI), a standardized measure of observed learner or school growth compared to the 2020 NWEA student or school growth norms.</p>	<p>Spring 2023 overall CGI: 0</p>	<p>Spring 2023 CGI less than -1 or above 0.</p>
---	-----------------------------------	---

Strategies/Activities

Activity	Learners to Be Served	Strategy/Activity Description	Expenditures	
			Amount(s)	Funding Source(s)
Target ELA Intervention	Identified learners falling below State proficiency levels.	Facilitator to work directly with identified learners falling below State proficiency levels. This employee will design, implement, and monitor strategies and activities to support ELA learning in alignment to California State Standards.	\$18,911	Title I

Annual Review

<p>SPSA Year Reviewed: 2022-2023</p>	<p>Summary: iLEA Online Facilitators worked to implement an ELA intervention program for targeted learners falling well below state level of proficiency as measured by NWEA MAP scores in fall and winter 2022.</p> <p>iLEAD Online met the 22-23 goal of a CGI score of 0 or above, overall, CGI improved 3.05 points, demonstrating effectiveness of targeted intervention and the need to continue offering and growing the executed intervention program.</p>
<p>Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goals.</p>	<p>Facilitators identified targeted learners based on ELA course performance and NWEA MAP scores in fall, winter, and spring and referred for Tier II math intervention programming. A credentialed facilitator utilized asynchronous and synchronous learning during instructional time for live instruction and through the school's LMS to deliver and monitor intervention strategies, tutoring, and support in meeting individual learner's Math goals. NWEA MAP data and iXL learning software were used to design individual lessons and practice for learner's based on their skill development needs.</p>



	An ELA Foundations asynchronous curriculum and course was created to provide additional targeted supplemental intervention to struggling learners.
Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goals.	There were no major differences between intended implementation or budgeted expenditures during the implementation time period. All strategies were implemented as planned
Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSSA.	iLEAD Online will continue offering small group intervention courses using live classes, credentialed Tier II Intervention Specialists for grades K-12, intervention software, and close monitoring of targeted learners as a Tier II schoolwide program. The program is expanding from 9-12 to K-12 as a result of successful implementation this year.

Goal 3: Improve the quality and effectiveness of facilitators, school director and leaders, and other staff to better meet the needs of learners through ongoing professional development.

Identified Need	There is a need to continue to ensure that all staff are appropriately qualified to provide instruction support and social emotional support based on annual staff surveys and requests. In alignment with WASC goals, facilitator feedback, and California state priorities, the focus of professional development will center around MTSS for the 2023-24 school year.
------------------------	--

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric	Baseline	Expected Outcome
Maintain % of staff who complete designated synchronous and asynchronous professional development.	100% of facilitators and care team providers trained	100% staff trained

Strategies/Activities

Activity	Staff to Be Served	Strategy/Activity Description	Expenditures	
			Amount(s)	Funding Source(s)
Training and staff professional development	All credentialed instructional staff and classified	Facilitators will attend training and support sessions on Multi-Tier Systems of Support and Social Emotional	\$2,900	Title II



in MTSS and Social Emotional Learning.	support staff.	Learning.		
--	----------------	-----------	--	--

Budget Summary	
Description	Amount
Total Anticipated Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application	\$59,262
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA	\$ 59,192
Total of Federal Title Funds for this school, including carryover from prior year.	\$ 59.262



Instructions

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan process.

The SPSA consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the consolidated application (ConApp), and for federal school improvement programs, including schoolwide programs, Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI), and Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI), pursuant to California *Education Code (EC)* Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements. It also notes how to meet CSI, TSI, or ATSI requirements, as applicable.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the LEA that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with *EC* 65001, the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below:

Instructions: Table of Contents

The SPSA template meets the requirements of schoolwide planning (SWP). Each section also contains a notation of how to meet CSI, TSI, or ATSI requirements.

[Stakeholder Involvement](#)



[Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures](#)

[Planned Strategies/Activities](#)

[Annual Review and Update](#)

[Budget Summary](#)

[Appendix A: Plan Requirements for Title I Schoolwide Programs](#)

[Appendix B: Plan Requirements for Schools to Meet Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements](#)

[Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs](#)

For additional questions or technical assistance related to LEA and school planning, please contact the Local Agency Systems Support Office, at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

For programmatic or policy questions regarding Title I schoolwide planning, please contact the local educational agency, or the CDE's Title I Policy and Program Guidance Office at TITLEI@cde.ca.gov.

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting federal school improvement planning requirements (for CSI, TSI, and ATSI), please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at SISO@cde.ca.gov.

Purpose and Description

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI), or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) must respond to the following prompts. A school that has not been identified for CSI, TSI, or ATSI may delete the Purpose and Description prompts.

Purpose

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan by selecting from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

Description

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.

Stakeholder Involvement

Meaningful involvement of parents, students, and other stakeholders is critical to the development of the SPSA and the budget process. Schools must share the SPSA with school site-level advisory



groups, as applicable (e.g., English Learner Advisory committee, student advisory groups, tribes and tribal organizations present in the community, as appropriate, etc.) and seek input from these advisory groups in the development of the SPSA.

The Stakeholder Engagement process is an ongoing, annual process. Describe the process used to involve advisory committees, parents, students, school faculty and staff, and the community in the development of the SPSA and the annual review and update.

[This section meets the requirements for TSI and ATSI.]

[When completing this section for CSI, the LEA shall partner with the school in the development and implementation of this plan.]

Resource Inequities

Schools eligible for CSI or ATSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA-and school-level budgeting as a part of the required needs assessment. Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the CSI or ATSI plan. Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

[This section meets the requirements for CSI and ATSI. If the school is not identified for CSI or ATSI this section is not applicable and may be deleted.]

Goals, Strategies, Expenditures, & Annual Review

In this section a school provides a description of the annual goals to be achieved by the school. This section also includes descriptions of the specific planned strategies/activities a school will take to meet the identified goals, and a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific strategies and activities.

Goal

State the goal. A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the school seeking to achieve?

It can be helpful to use a framework for writing goals such the S.M.A.R.T. approach. A S.M.A.R.T. goal is one that is **S**pecific, **M**easurable, **A**chievable, **R**ealistic, and **T**ime-bound. A level of specificity is needed in order to measure performance relative to the goal as well as to assess whether it is reasonably achievable. Including time constraints, such as milestone dates, ensures a realistic approach that supports student success.

A school may number the goals using the “Goal #” for ease of reference.



[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI, improvement goals shall align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA LCAP.]

Identified Need

Describe the basis for establishing the goal. The goal should be based upon an analysis of verifiable state data, including local and state indicator data from the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and data from the School Accountability Report Card, including local data voluntarily collected by districts to measure pupil achievement.

[Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements]

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that the school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal. A school may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the baseline column the most recent data associated with the metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the SPSA. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data reported in the annual update of the SPSA. In the subsequent Expected Outcome column, identify the progress the school intends to make in the coming year.

[When completing this section for CSI the school must include school-level metrics related to the metrics that led to the school's identification.]

[When completing this section for TSI/ATSI the school must include metrics related to the specific student group(s) that led to the school's identification.]

Strategies/Activities

Describe the strategies and activities being provided to meet the described goal. A school may number the strategy/activity using the "Strategy/Activity #" for ease of reference.

Planned strategies/activities address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with state priorities and resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of the local educational agency's budgeting, its local control and accountability plan, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI, this plan shall include evidence-based interventions and align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA LCAP.]

[When completing this section for CSI and ATSI, this plan shall address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.]



Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

Indicate in this box which students will benefit from the strategies/activities by indicating “All Students” or listing one or more specific student group(s) to be served.

[This section meets the requirements for CSI.]

[When completing this section for TSI and ATSI, at a minimum, the student groups to be served shall include the student groups that are consistently underperforming, for which the school received the TSI or ATSI designation. For TSI, a school may focus on all students or the student group(s) that led to identification based on the evidence-based interventions selected.]

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

For each strategy/activity, list the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures for the school year to implement these strategies/activities. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal, identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Proposed expenditures that are included more than once in a SPSA should be indicated as a duplicated expenditure and include a reference to the goal and strategy/activity where the expenditure first appears in the SPSA. Pursuant to Education Code, Section 64001(g)(3)(C), proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or governing body of the LEA, to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities including identifying resource inequities which may include a review of the LEA’s budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

[This section meets the requirements for CSI, TSI, and ATSI.]

[NOTE: Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.]

Annual Review

In the following Analysis prompts, identify any material differences between what was planned and what actually occurred as well as significant changes in strategies/activities and/ or expenditures from the prior year. This annual review and analysis should be the basis for decision-making and updates to the plan.

Analysis

Using actual outcome data, including state indicator data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned strategies/activities were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as



instructed. Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal the Annual Review section is not required and this section may be deleted.

- Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
- Briefly describe any major differences between either/or the intended implementation or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
- Describe any changes that will be made to the goal, expected annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the CSI, TSI, or ATSI planning requirements. CSI, TSI, and ATSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI planning requirements.]

Budget Summary

In this section a school provides a brief summary of the funding allocated to the school through the ConApp and/or other funding sources as well as the total amount of funds for proposed expenditures described in the SPSA. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp and that receive federal funds for CSI. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

From its total allocation for CSI, the LEA may distribute funds across its schools that meet the criteria for CSI to support implementation of this plan. In addition, the LEA may retain a portion of its total allocation to support LEA-level expenditures that are directly related to serving schools eligible for CSI.

Budget Summary

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp should complete the Budget Summary as follows:

- Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application: This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school through the ConApp for the school year. The school year means the fiscal year for which a SPSA is adopted or updated.
- Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA: This amount is the total of the proposed expenditures from all sources of funds associated with the



strategies/activities reflected in the SPSA. To the extent strategies/activities and/or proposed expenditures are listed in the SPSA under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once.

A school receiving federal funds for CSI should complete the Budget Summary as follows:

- Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI: This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school from the LEA.

[NOTE: Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools eligible for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.]

Appendix A: Plan Requirements

Schoolwide Program Requirements

This School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) template meets the requirements of a schoolwide program plan. The requirements below are for planning reference.

A school that operates a schoolwide program and receives funds allocated through the ConApp is required to develop a SPSA. The SPSA, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, must be reviewed annually and updated by the SSC. The content of a SPSA must be aligned with school goals for improving student achievement.

Requirements for Development of the Plan

- I. The development of the SPSA shall include both of the following actions:
 - A. Administration of a comprehensive needs assessment that forms the basis of the school's goals contained in the SPSA.
 1. The comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school shall:
 - a. Include an analysis of verifiable state data, consistent with all state priorities as noted in Sections 52060 and 52066, and informed by all indicators described in Section 1111(c)(4)(B) of the federal Every Student Succeeds Act, including pupil performance against state-determined long-term goals. The school may include data voluntarily developed by districts to measure pupil outcomes (described in the Identified Need); and



b. Be based on academic achievement information about all students in the school, including all groups under §200.13(b)(7) and migratory children as defined in section 1309(2) of the ESEA, relative to the State's academic standards under §200.1 to—

- i. Help the school understand the subjects and skills for which teaching and learning need to be improved; and
- ii. Identify the specific academic needs of students and groups of students who are not yet achieving the State's academic standards; and
- iii. Assess the needs of the school relative to each of the components of the schoolwide program under §200.28.
- iv. Develop the comprehensive needs assessment with the participation of individuals who will carry out the schoolwide program plan.
- v. Document how it conducted the needs assessment, the results it obtained, and the conclusions it drew from those results.

B. Identification of the process for evaluating and monitoring the implementation of the SPSA and progress towards accomplishing the goals set forth in the SPSA (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and Annual Review and Update).

Requirements for the Plan

II. The SPSA shall include the following:

A. Goals set to improve pupil outcomes, including addressing the needs of student groups as identified through the needs assessment.

B. Evidence-based strategies, actions, or services (described in Strategies and Activities)

1. A description of the strategies that the school will be implementing to address school needs, including a description of how such strategies will--

a. provide opportunities for all children including each of the subgroups of students to meet the challenging state academic standards

b. use methods and instructional strategies that:

- i. strengthen the academic program in the school,
- ii. increase the amount and quality of learning time, and
- iii. provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum, which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education.



c. Address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards, so that all students demonstrate at least proficiency on the State's academic standards through activities which may include:

- i. strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas;
- ii. preparation for and awareness of opportunities for postsecondary education and the workforce;
- iii. implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior;
- iv. professional development and other activities for facilitators, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data; and
- v. strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.

C. Proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or body of the local educational agency (may include funds allocated via the ConApp, federal funds for CSI, any other state or local funds allocated to the school), to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities, including identifying resource inequities, which may include a review of the LEAs budgeting, it's LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable (described in Proposed Expenditures and Budget Summary). Employees of the schoolwide program may be deemed funded by a single cost objective.

D. A description of how the school will determine if school needs have been met (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and the Annual Review and Update).

1. Annually evaluate the implementation of, and results achieved by, the schoolwide program, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement;
2. Determine whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards; and
3. Revise the plan, as necessary, based on the results of the evaluation, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program.

E. A description of how the school will ensure parental involvement in the planning, review, and improvement of the schoolwide program plan (described in Stakeholder Involvement and/or Strategies/Activities).



F. A description of the activities the school will include to ensure that students who experience difficulty attaining proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards will be provided with effective, timely additional support, including measures to

1. Ensure that those students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis; and
2. Provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance to those students.

G. For an elementary school, a description of how the school will assist preschool students in the successful transition from early childhood programs to the school.

H. A description of how the school will use resources to carry out these components (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).

I. A description of any other activities and objectives as established by the SSC (described in the Strategies/Activities).

Authority Cited: S Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations (34 CFR), sections 200.25-26, and 200.29, and sections-1114(b)(7)(A)(i)-(iii) and 1118(b) of the ESEA. *EC* sections 6400 et. seq.

Appendix B:

Plan Requirements for School to Meet Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements, please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at SISO@cde.ca.gov.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

The LEA shall partner with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, facilitators, and parents) to locally develop and implement the CSI plan for the school to improve student outcomes, and specifically address the metrics that led to eligibility for CSI (Stakeholder Involvement).

The CSI plan shall:



1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable);
2. Include evidence-based interventions (Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable) (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education’s “Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments” at <https://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/essa/guidanceuseseseinvestment.pdf>);
3. Be based on a school-level needs assessment (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable); and
4. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, to be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities; and Annual Review and Update, as applicable).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(A), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(1) of the ESSA.

Targeted Support and Improvement

In partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, facilitators, and parents) the school shall develop and implement a school-level TSI plan to improve student outcomes for each subgroup of students that was the subject of identification (Stakeholder Involvement).

The TSI plan shall:

1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable); and
2. Include evidence-based interventions (Planned Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable). (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education’s “Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments” <https://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/essa/guidanceuseseseinvestment.pdf>.)

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B) and 1111(d)(2) of the ESSA.

Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

A school identified for ATSI shall:

1. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, which will be addressed through implementation of its TSI plan (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities, and Annual Review and Update, as applicable).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(2)(c) of the ESSA.



Single School Districts and Charter Schools Identified for School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are identified for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (EC Section 64001[a] as amended by Assembly Bill [AB] 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the local control and accountability plan (LCAP) and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (EC Section 52062[a] as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: EC sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs

For a list of active programs, please see the following links:

Programs included on the Consolidated Application: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/co/>

ESSA Title I, Part A: School Improvement: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/schoolsupport.asp>

Available Funding: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/fo/af/>

Developed by the California Department of Education, January 2019